

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 ANKARA 04432 071504Z

50

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 OC-06 CCO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03

ACDA-19 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 SS-20 NSC-07 DRC-01 /124 W

----- 069914

R 071230Z JUN 74

FM AMEMBASSY ANKARA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4467

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO JCS WASHDC

USMISSION NATO

DIRNSA WASHDC

OSAF/SAFUSI

CSAF/XOXX

CINCEUR

CINCUSAFE RAMSTEIN AB GERMANY

S E C R E T ANKARA 4432

E.O. 11652: XGDS-3 INDEFINITE

TAGS: MARR, TU

SUBJ: I.A. NEGOTIATIONS MEETING JUNE 5, 1974: COMMUNICATIONS
AND ELECTRONICS

REF: ANKARA 4195

SUMMARY: AT I.A. NEGOTIATIONS MEETING JUNE 5, 1974,
DISCUSSION CONTINUED ON ARTICLE 7 (CHANGES) COMMUNICATIONS
AND ELECTRONICS I.A. (C&E) ON FINAL SENTENCE OF TURKISH
DRAFT IN MAIN TEXT AND AGREED MINUTE REGARDING PRIOR
NOTICE TO TGS OF ALL CHANGES. TURKS HAD SOFTENED POSITION
SUFFICIENTLY BY END DISCUSSION TO ALLOW U.S. OPPORTUNITY
PROPOSE NEW LANGUAGE AT NEXT MEETING TO SHIFT THRUST FROM PRIOR
NOTICE IN ALL CASES TO PROMPT NOTICE WHERE PRIOR NOTICE NOT POS-
SIBLE. ON ARTICLE 6 (NATURE), TURKS AT U.S. REQUEST MADE UNEQUIV-
OCAL STATEMENT THAT THEY REGARDED AUTOVON AS INCLUDED IN PHRASE,
"FORWARD SCATTER SYSTEM RELAYS AND TERMINALS; TELEPHONE EXCHANGES",

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 ANKARA 04432 071504Z

AND THUS UNNECESSARY TO MENTION SPECIFICALLY. END SUMMARY

1. ARTICLE 7 - FINAL SENTENCE MAIN TEXT AND AGREED MINUTE TURKISH DRAFT: TURKS MODIFIED FINAL SENTENCE MAIN TEXT AND AGREED MINUTE THEIR DRAFT BY DROPPING FINAL CLAUSE REGARDING PROCEDURES. SENTENCE NOW READS: "HOWEVER, THE TGS WILL BE ADVISED IN ADVANCE ON THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF SUCH CHANGES." TURKISH CHAIRMAN (ASST DIRGEN INT'L SECURITY AFFAIRS ASULA) OPINED THAT DELETION REFERENCE TO PROCEDURES SHOULD MAKE FINAL SENTENCE ACCEPTABLE TO U.S. TO "SAVE" ARTICLE 7 AND AVOID FURTHER DELAYS, ARTICLE SHOULD BE "SEPARATED" FROM PROCEDURES. U.S. CHAIRMAN (MSA COUNSELOR BOEHM) NOTED U.S. DIFFICULTY WITH SENTENCE HAD BEEN IN PART BECAUSE OF ITS REFERENCE TO PROCEDURES TO BE ESTABLISHED, AND IN PART BECAUSE IT DEVIATED FROM DCA. MOREOVER, TURKISH FINAL SENTENCE STILL MUCH TOO BROAD. U.S. WILLING CONCEDE THAT SPECIAL CASES SUCH AS INTERFERENCE EXIST IN C&E OPERATIONS WHICH MUST BE TAKEN ACCOUNT OF IN I.A. AND HAD ASKED TURKS IF THEY HAD OTHER SPECIAL CASES TO CITE BESIDES INTERFERENCE. DEVIATION FROM DCA COULD ONLY BE JUSTIFIED TO MEET SPECIFIC CONCRETE PROBLEMS. U.S. COULD ACCEPT COMMITMENT TO NOTIFY TGS IN ADVANCE ON ANY CHANGE THAT MIGHT CAUSE INTERFERENCE, BUT NOT ON ALL CHANGES. U.S. CONCERNED AT IMPLICATION THAT TURKS WISHED APPLY PRINCIPLE OF ADVANCE NOTICE ON ALL CHANGES TO ALL I.A.'S. TURKISH CHAIRMAN UNABLE CITE OTHER PROBLEMS BESIDES INTERFERENCE BUT INSISTED THERE MIGHT BE OTHER CASES PECULIAR TO C&E WHERE TGS WOULD NEED PRIOR NOTICE TO TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION TO AVOID POTENTIAL PROBLEMS. HE ASSURED U.S. THAT TURKS HAD NO INTENTION APPLYING PRINCIPLE OF ADVANCE NOTICE ON ALL CHANGES TO OTHER I.A.'S EXCEPT C&E. U.S. NOTED THAT C&E I.A. COVERED ROUTINE SUPPORT FACILITIES AS WELL AS ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT CAPABLE OF CAUSING INTERFERENCE, AND AGAIN URGED THAT PROPOSAL FOR ADVANCE NOTICE BE LIMITED TO CHANGES TO ELECTRONIC RADIATING EQUIPMENT. U.S. DID IN FACT VOLUNTARILY NOTIFY TURKISH AUTHORITIES IN ADVANCE ON ALL SORTS OF CHANGES BUT COULD NOT GUARANTEE TO DO SO. EMERGENCY CASES COULD ARISE REQUIRING, FOR EXAMPLE, IMMEDIATE REALIGNMENT OF COMPONENTS TO CONTINUE OPERATION. PRINCIPLE OF DCA WAS THAT ONCE APPROVED BY GOT, A COMMON DEFENSE INSTALLATION SHOULD BE ABLE TO OPERATE WITHOUT BUREAUCRATIC HARASSMENT: EVEN WITH BEST INTENTIONS OFTEN RESPONSES TO NOTIFICATIONS COULD BE GREATLY DELAYED. ALSO, GOT HAD SO OFTEN RESPONDED WITH "APPROVALS" TO U.S. NOTIFICATIONS THAT U.S. WONDERED IF GOT RECOGNIZED DCA DISTINCTION BETWEEN THOSE

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 ANKARA 04432 071504Z

CHANGES REQUIRING TURKISH APPROVAL AND THOSE THAT DO NOT. U.S. RECOGNIZED RIGHT OF GOT TO KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON AND NEED FOR TGS TO KEEP ITS RECORDS CURRENT. TURKS BY KNOWING WHAT EXISTS IN TURKEY COULD VERIFY CHANGES THROUGH THEIR RIGHT OF INSPECTION OF COMMON DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS. TURKISH CHAIRMAN PERSISTED IN POSITION THAT U.S. SHOULD NOTIFY IN ADVANCE OF ALL KINDS OF CHANGES ON A CONTINUAL BASIS AND NOT AT PERIODIC INTERVALS. HE DID AGREE THAT GOT SHOULD NOT APPROVE OR ENDORSE NOTIFICATIONS, AND

OPINED THAT A WAITING PERIOD COULD BE MUTUALLY AGREED APPROPRIATE TO THE KIND OF CHANGE PROPOSED AND THAT AT END OF THAT PERIOD ON RECEIVING NO TURKISH OBJECTION, U.S. COULD INITIATE CHANGE.

2. U.S. THEN SUGGESTED THAT COMPLEXITY PROCEDURAL ASPECTS OF CHANGES UNDER DISCUSSION INDICATED THAT FURTHER DISCUSSION ARTICLE 7 SHOULD BE DEFERRED UNTIL CHANGE AND CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES WORKED OUT BY SUBCOMMITTEE. ENTIRE SECOND SENTENCE COULD BE DELETED FROM MAIN TEXT AND AGREED MINUTE. THIS WOULD RESERVE POSITIONS BOTH SIDES ON PROCEDURES COVERING CHANGES AND TURKS WOULD BE FREE TO PROPOSE ANY PROCEDURE AT SUB-COMMITTEE. TURKS DEMURRED AT DEFERRAL OF DISCUSSION OR DELETION SECOND SENTENCE BUT SUGGESTED THAT WORDS FROM JUNE 4, 1971, TEXT MIGHT BE ADAPTED TO PRESENT DTICLE. U.S. POINTED OUT THAT U.S. HAD AGREED TO ARTICLE 7 IN 1971 TEXT BUT TURKS, AFTER INITIALLY AGREEING, LATER WITHDREW THEIR AGREEMENT. IF 1971 ARTICLE 7 USED, IT WOULD HAVE TO BE USED IN ENTIRETY, OTHERWISE CONFLICT WOULD PERSIST BETWEEN FIRST SENTENCE STATING THAT CHANGES NOT AFFECTING THE PURPOSE, NATURE AND ACTIVITIES DID NOT REQUIRE GOT APPROVAL AND NEXT SENTENCE WHICH STATED THAT ADVANCE NOTICE TO TGS REQUIRED IN ALL CASES. TURKISH CHAIRMAN FINALLY AGREED THAT CONFLICT EXISTED AND SUGGESTED THAT INSERTION WORD "PROMPTLY" IN MODIFYING CLAUSE BE INSERTED TO COVER THOSE CASES WHERE ADVANCE NOTICE NOT POSSIBLE. U.S. AGREED TO CONSIDER SUGGESTION AND RESPOND NEXT MEETING.

3. COMMENT: TURKISH SUGGESTION INSERT "PROMPTLY" GIVES US OPENING TO FORMULATE NEW LANGUAGE TO ELIMINATE UNACCEPTABLE REQUIREMENT FOR "ADVANCE" NOTIFICATION IN ALL CASES. &

4. ARTICLE 6 (NATURE) MENTION OF AUTOVON: U.S. ASKED TURKS IF THEY RECOGNIZED THAT AUTOVON WAS DESCRIBED IN ARTICLE 6 THOUGH NOT MENTIONED SPECIFICALLY. U.S. REITERATED THAT OUR AGREEMENT NOT TO
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 ANKARA 04432 071504Z

PROPOSE SPECIFIC MENTION "AUTOVON" BASED ON THAT UNDERSTANDING. TURKS STATED UNEQUIVOCALLY THAT THEY REGARDED AUTOVON AS ALREADY COVERED BY ARTICLE 6 IN THAT PORTION DEALING WITH FORWARD SCATTER AND TELEPHONE SYSTEMS AND THEREFORE THEY SAW NO NEED TO MENTION AUTOVON SPECIFICALLY. COMMENT: WE FIND TURKISH ASSURANCE BEST WE ARE LKELY TO GET.
MACOMBER

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TELECOMMUNICATION, AGREEMENT DRAFT, NEGOTIATIONS, MEETINGS, MILITARY BASE AGREEMENTS, MILITARY COMMUNICATIONS, SUPPORTING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS, AMEN DMENTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 07 JUN 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: smithrj
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ANKARA04432
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X3
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740146-0533
From: ANKARA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740667/aaaacfgn.tel
Line Count: 161
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: ANKARA 4195
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: smithrj
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 27 AUG 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <27 AUG 2002 by elyme>; APPROVED <19 FEB 2003 by smithrj>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: I.A. NEGOTIATIONS MEETING JUNE 5, 1974: COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS
TAGS: MARR, TU
To: STATE DOD
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005